

THE EVOLUTION OF ABSTRACT INTELLIGENCE

alexis dolgorukii © 1998

In the past few years this is the subject about which I have been asked the most questions. This is true because it is the subject about which all metaphysical systems are the most completely misleading. Religions, on the other hand tend to ignore or dismiss the subject altogether as it does not match their needs.

The concept of the Evolution of Abstract (creative) intelligence not only doesn't "match" the requirements of Religion, it is dichotomous with them. Religion is given power almost entirely by it's use of the fear of death to control people. The concept that abstract intelligence evolves and that all sentience is a facet of abstract intelligence completely obviates the fear of death.

What I am about to tell you is based upon a scientific appreciation of how we all came to be, and the purpose for which we are here. It is NOT based upon any religious doctrine, but the perceptions of religion were definitely considered in arriving at my views.

Now, how DID everything come to be? We know, for science has clearly proven that our universe, or rather that which we perceive to be our universe, was created out of what our present knowledge defines as "nothing" by the Big Bang. Now I think that perhaps what is more accurate would be to say: "Our Universe was created out of *apparent* nothingness by the Big Bang. I prefer this because I have difficulty accepting the condition of "nothingness". **Ex Nihilo nihil fit**, or "nothing comes out of nothing". If there was a "Big Bang", and there's a tremendous amount of totally empirical evidence that there was, than there was *something* that exploded. We are told it was a "primordial atom" and I can accept that, but a "primordial atom" isn't "nothing" it is "something". I personally think that the Big Bang that created our universe was merely one in a continuous series of such events.

Now the question that we have to answer, and which religion has clearly shown it can't answer is this: How did we get from the explosion of that primordial atom to where we are now? The principal question we have to consider is this: Is the Universe which exists as a result of The Big Bang merely a random event? Is the "Big Bang" a random or accidental event? If indeed, the Universe is a random event, is everything else a random or accidental thing as well? If so then, is life as meaningless as being accidental would surely imply?

These are certainly not questions it would be wise to ignore. We clearly know that there was an enormous explosion, and, as "nothing" cannot explode, it's pretty clear that "something" exploded, but what it was, or may have been, we have no way of knowing. Was this explosion random? It could have been so, but at present we have no way of knowing. It may have been random, and it may NOT have been. But of this I am sure, there was no "God" involved in the

process. At least not the sort and kind of "God" which Religion insists upon. Aside from the "Big Bang" itself, there is no evidence of any kind of external influence. And that's the most important point, for there to have been a "God" involved in the initial act of "creation" (i.e. The Big Bang) that "God" would have to have been external and alien to the Universe brought into being by the "Big Bang". There is not now nor has there ever been any empirical evidence that such a thing as "God" exists. The only reason that the concept of "God" exists at all is that religions insist so violently upon its existence. But then, religions absolutely "need" a "God". It is the reason for their existence. There was no "God" so they really *did* have to invent one.

As I pointed out in an earlier essay, humankind seems to turn to the "God" idea because of Humanity's urgent need to escape personal responsibility. I have a question: If there was an all powerful, all-knowing, all-pervasive "God" would its existence be controversial? I don't think the answer to that question can possibly be "yes". Because if there was, in fact, such a center-of-consciousness, its existence would be absolutely unavoidably obvious to all living things, but it is not. What it is, is an article of "Faith" or "belief", in other words, a fantasy because that is all "faith" is, a fantasy.

Now then, with "God" out of the picture. What do we find the moment after "The Big Bang"? Obviously, we have energy expanding outwards with great velocity and absolutely no order. In other words, chaos. Now, today, we still have nothing but energy, but it is at least apparently orderly because it manifests as "things". Things like you, and the computer upon which you're reading this.

But what does all this have to do with the Evolution of Creative Intelligence? Everything that's what. All sentient life, and by that I mean all things with consciousness, are part of this evolution. In fact, all things are part of this evolution. Why is this? It's because the only reality is the reality of energy. But what does energy have to do with consciousness? If you stop to think about it, the answer is obvious. If the only reality is energy, and yet consciousness clearly exists in a broad spectrum of entities then there is, or rather there must be, a relationship between consciousness per se and energy.

How then, does this relate to you and me? Does it? It does!

What do we know? Not believe, but know! Belief is the refuge of fools, and the hope of scam artists.

We know, based upon entirely empirical evidence, that there was a gigantic explosion out of which expanded our "Universe". To this day, science notwithstanding, we only have very dim notions as to how far this "Universe" extends. Yet to me there is another question that is equally, if not more important, that is: What was the "space" in which this explosion took place? The "Universe" expands within the parameters of what? These questions are, at least at this point in time, utterly unanswerable. Maybe the problem is semantic or

philological. Maybe we simply lack the right words to describe what we're talking about, or rather more clearly, perhaps we use the words we do have wrongly.

For instance, when we say "Universe" or "Cosmos" we seem to mean the entirety of everything, or "all that is". "Space" of course, tends to mean to say the contents of all that is. But I tend to believe those are merely semantic conveniences not only for words we don't possess but for concepts we can't really imagine. For instance, before the "Big Bang" was there really "nothing"? If so, what is Nothing? After the "Primordial Atom" exploded in the "Big Bang", the energy produced (from what?) by that explosion, roared outwards (into what?), it is still moving outwards, but what does outwards mean in this context? Outwards in the context of what? Scientists talk about the "perimeters of the universe"; perimeters in the context of what? What they seem to be saying is that "something" (the Universe) is expanding outwards into nothingness, but we don't know what "nothingness" means other than the absence of "something". Thus our language is the greatest obstacle to comprehension.

These are, of course, very interesting topics, but not topics allowing an answer. But what we are talking about herein is how did each person, or other sentient being, get to be what they are?

Leaving out all the utterly unanswerable questions, what did happen when that "Primordial Atom" blew up? Well what happened then is what happens in any explosion, massive waves of energy are released in all directions away from the center of the explosion. BUT ENERGY IS COMPOSED OF PARTICLES! This is not theoretical or hypothetical, we know that energy is composed of multitudes of different sized particles and that what we call "matter" is composed of these particles suspended within Particle Carrier Waves which manifest in sine curve (sine wave) form. We also know that these sine wave carrier waves oscillate in relation to the size of the particles they carry. Today all that is axiomatic.

What isn't axiomatic is the means by which some of those particles got to be you and me. What is absolutely true is that they did! Now **HOW** they did so, is the story of the evolution of consciousness and awareness, which some mistakenly call spirit.

Now then, one of the most interesting aspects of that process is it's procession from total chaos to at least the semblance of order in which we find ourselves. For the only description possible for the conditions existing at the instant of the "Big Bang" and afterwards was a condition of total chaos, a maelstrom of wildly disorderly energies all speeding away from "point zero". Somehow, these energy waves carrying all the particles created by the explosion settled down from the chaos of their creation into a reasonably settled sine curve pattern, and the particles within those carrier waves coalesced into forms of varying degrees of density over an enormous span of time.

Now we come to the hard part, how did these energies in flux become sentience?

It is my premise that energy and consciousness have certain commonalities, and while we know from our personal experience that it is possible for an energy field to be conscious and aware of its own consciousness, for that is clearly true of each and every one of us; we also know that not all energy exhibits evidences of consciousness. It is my premise therefore that the fact that some energy possesses consciousness is a clear indication that energy, per se, possesses the potential for consciousness.

Now I want to more clearly explain what it is I mean when I say "some energy possesses consciousness". I mean you and I and all sentient things are nothing more than a coalescent energy field, energy particles held in place by a network of force or force-field. Our so-called bodies are, as the Hindus and Buddhists have long claimed, simply a kind of illusion; an extremely vivid illusion.

Now, this claim as to the energy-field actuality of the Human Body is NOT hypothesis, it is not Religious Doctrine, it is science. We know that there is nothing existing in this universe that is not simply energy or a form of energy. If then our so-called bodies are only energy fields then they are surely to some degree illusions. The problem of course, is that they don't seem to be illusions.

"If you prick us, do we not bleed? If you tickle us, do we not laugh? If you poison us, do we not die?" The answer to all those questions is, of course, **YES!** But if that is so, how then can we be merely "energy fields" which we know we are, but we also know that we are what we call "real" and bleed, laugh, and die. While the complete answer to these questions is presently unknowable, we can nevertheless provide more than simply adequate answers to part of the problem.

Actually the answer is contained in two words, they are "consciousness" and "awareness". Physicality is a "hologram" projected by our consciousness, but most people are not aware that this is so. When the awareness and the consciousness merge then the probable purpose of physicality is attained.

As I see it the "goal" or "purpose" of the "creation" of the visible universe is the evolution not of form but of consciousness. This "purpose" only begins to exist when the Universe begins to exist. When the "Big Bang" created all the various levels of energy particles some, at least, of those particles possess the potential for consciousness. As time passes, and the energy fields within the expanding mass of energy begin the process of coalescence, these particular particles begin to evolve past simply potentially conscious and into a state we will have to describe as inchoately or nebulously conscious; but they are not self-conscious. Of course, anything which is not self-conscious is not fully conscious. What this situation was is described in the Rig Veda as "A Desire to Know and Grow", a "yearning for awareness", as time passes by these energy particles slowly and gradually become dimly aware of their individuality and that sparks a fire of desire to learn and grow more and more, at that point these particles become what I call Perispirits and the evolution of their consciousness and infinitization of their awareness begins.

I call the process "Metempsychosis", and it is certainly anything but a quick process. But in the course of its metempsychosis each Perispirit slowly and gradually becomes more aware of itself and of its surroundings, eventually some, but not all, of the Perispirits reach the point at which they can no longer extend their awareness without the experience of physicality. Why this is true of some but not all Perispirits I am not sure, but it is probably due to differing developmental paradigms.

But, for those Perispirits (and it is the Perispirit which is the Abstract Intelligence), which required the experience of denser molecular patterning, the way is through successive and sequential experiences of physicality, a process generally misidentified as "reincarnation". I say "misidentified" because there is a really big difference between "successive and sequential incarnations" and "reincarnation".

Now what does this mean to the individual human personally?

Well to put it prosaically, it means two different things. It means that nobody was ever anybody before they were themselves, nor will they be anybody else, ever, except themselves. But it also means that every person is the end result of an infinitely long process of which each person is an interim end product. What this means to say is that as the Perispirit incarnates by way of a physical manifestation, each manifestation is representative of where the Perispirit stands in its evolution, and each successive sequential incarnation is representative of all the experiential data gathered to date. At the end of the process of physical incarnations the final manifestation undergoes a process called "personality integration"; the experiences of all previous manifestations, and their vitally important memories (but not their inconsequential memories) become fully available to the "last person in line".

Religion calls this phenomenon "Enlightenment".

But, the obvious question remaining is what happens to a person when they die? Well to be perfectly honest, almost nothing. Of course they shed the physical vehicle, but other than that they lose nothing of their self-consciousness. An energy field, once extant, can never be lost from the space in which it exists. At this point the individual is beginning to evolve into an abstract intelligence. All sentiency is essentially immortal. There is absolutely no "death" only a change in status. For those who, in the body, were totally devoted to things physical, this represents a significant loss, and much time needs to be spent learning to cope with it. But to those who led a balanced life, it's really no problem.

Humanity's fears of death are the most negative thing there is, and have been used by the unscrupulous to control and oppress people for all too long.

The end product of this process is that all intelligence, or rather all consciousness, in time becomes the abstract Creative Intelligence; and then each intelligent energy field evolves toward what we can only call omni-consciousness and infinite awareness. By that I mean not simply the original

Perispirits but each and every consciousness developed "along the way".